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## Board Due Diligence

"Executive compensation is the acid test of corporate governance."

Warren Buffet

## Board Due Diligence: Decision Framework

## Human Capital Strategy

Compensation philosophy

- Framework
- Decision criteria

Compensation strategy addressing current and future needs and aligning with corporate strategy

- Focus for actions and decisions


## Governance Hot Topic: Executive Compensation

- Executive compensation consistently ranks at the top of corporate governance hot topics
- Compensation has become a far more complicated issue
- Building a fair and attractive compensation package is critical for attracting and retaining employees
- CUES survey: 8 in 10 CEOs have been working for credit unions for 15+ years and NAFCU survey: almost 50\% are age 55+
- NCUA 2008 task force final report: increased transparency of executive compensation would improve "accountability and be more consistent with the prevailing public policy."


## Strategic View

## Human Capital

## Human Resources



## Board Due Diligence: Strategic Questions

1. Is our strategy working?
2. Are we building the capacity we need to drive strategic growth?
3. Do our incentive plans actually incent the performance and/or behaviors we need?
4. Do we have an adequate succession plan and are we managing our talent risk?
5. Are we meeting regulatory requirements?
6. Are our practices "fair and reasonable"?

## Board Due Diligence: Compensation Policy

## Board Oversight for Compensation policy

- Procedures to set compensation for the organization's chief executive, officers and "key employees"
- Review and approval by board of directors or compensation committee excluding those with conflicts of interest
- Application of data for comparable compensation for similarly qualified persons in functionally comparable positions at similarly situated organizations
- Documentation and recordkeeping of the deliberations and decisions regarding the compensation
- Assurance that activities and operations of branches or affiliates are consistent


## Measuring Return

## Traditional HR Metrics

- Turnover Rate
- Average time to fill positions
- Compensation Costs/employee
- Benefits as \% of Compensation


## Human Capital Metrics

- Operating Income/employee
- Comp and Market Ratios
- Human Capital Efficiency Ratio
- Human Capital ROI
- Total Realized Value


## Measuring Return

## Human Capital Efficiency Ratio

Operating Income per employee Salaries and Benefits per employee

## Human Capital ROI

Net Income Total Salaries and Benefits

## Sample HC Metrics Study

| Performance <br> Factors/Ratios | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries and Benefits | $\mathbf{9 , 7 6 0 , 6 9 2}$ | $10,412,043$ | $9,996,635$ | $8,807,696$ |
| Total Income | $\mathbf{3 4 , 1 0 3 , 5 0 7}$ | $33,156,183$ | $30,679,714$ | $28,277,398$ |
| Operating Income | $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 7 9 , 2 7 3}$ | $21,658,729$ | $24,829,047$ | $22,630,405$ |
| Net Income | $\mathbf{2 , 3 8 7 , 5 7 0}$ | $1,383,443$ | $3,975,202$ | $5,464,358$ |
| FTEs | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | 140 | 138 | 127 |
| Total Assets | $\mathbf{5 4 8 , 9 0 7 , 6 0 7}$ | $494,432,796$ | $489,247,949$ | $486,729,029$ |
| ROA | $\mathbf{0 . 4 3 \%}$ | $0.28 \%$ | $0.81 \%$ | $1.12 \%$ |
| Comp Efficiency Ratio | $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ | 2.08 | 2.48 | 2.57 |
| ROI in Human Capital | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 6 \%}$ | $13.29 \%$ | $39.77 \%$ | $62.04 \%$ |
| Op Income/Employee | $\mathbf{1 7 6 , 7 6 4}$ | 154,705 | 179,921 | 178,192 |
| Total Comp/Employee | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 8 2}$ | 74,372 | 72,439 | 69,352 |

## HC Metrics Sample Comparisons

| Performance <br> Factors/Ratios | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Comp + <br> Benefits | $1,294,646$ | $2,376,313$ | $7,225,734$ | $7,496,176$ | $8,463,279$ | $15,457,471$ | $67,267,988$ |
| Total Income | $4,460,414$ | $11,163,025$ | $26,441,233$ | $25,450,850$ | $34,455,252$ | $59,009,656$ | $743,966,498$ |
| Op Income | $3,351,443$ | $6,278,350$ | $17,465,532$ | $14,778,889$ | $19,468,196$ | $30,886,461$ | $230,429,701$ |
| Net Income | 204,078 | $1,564,485$ | $3,811,520$ | $2,038,842$ | 284,178 | $3,460,420$ | $46,487,095$ |
| Member/Emp | 1 to 334 | 1 to 363 | 1 to 317 | 1 to 370 | 1 to 323 | 1 to 348 | 1 to 803 |
| Total Assets | $53,017,246$ | $170,136,648$ | $372,850,787$ | $417,515,943$ | $494,164,466$ | $1,031,166,248$ | $12,942,944,625$ |
| ROAA | $0.39 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ | $1.05 \%$ | $0.51 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ |
| Comp Eff Ratio | 2.59 | 2.64 | 2.42 | 1.97 | 2.30 | 2.00 | 3.43 |
| ROI H Capital | $15.76 \%$ | $65.84 \%$ | $52.75 \%$ | $7.20 \%$ | $3.36 \%$ | $22.39 \%$ | $69.11 \%$ |
| Op Income/ <br> Employee | 167,572 | 209,278 | 108,482 | 167,942 | 105,233 | 160,033 | 222,208 |
| Comp <br> /Employee | 64,732 | 79,210 | 44,880 | 85,184 | 45,747 | 80,091 | 64,868 |

Ensuring Benefits for the Future

## Goals of the Presentation

- Where Credit Unions are in the area of Executive Benefits Today
- Types and Uses of Executive Benefit Plans
- Determining what is Fair and Reasonable in Executive Benefits
- Sample Plans
- Roadmap to Safety and Soundness


## What Others Are Doing

## How Many Have Nonqualified Benefit Plans?

The likelihood that a credit union provides a nonqualified benefit plan tends to increase with asset size. For credit unions with $\$ 250$ million to less than $\$ 500$ million in assets, about six of every ten $(58 \%)$ provide these plans to one or more executives.

Provide Executive Nonqualified Benefit Plans (Including Severance)


## What Others Are Doing

## Total Assets of $\$ \mathbf{2 5 0}$ Million to $\$ 500$ Million

## Nonqualified Benefit Plans for Top Executive

For credit unions in the asset group, it is estimated that nearly four in ten provide 457(b) plans, one in three provide 457 (f) plans and one in three provide severance plans to their top executives.

## Type of Nonqualified Benefit Plan(s) for Top Executive <br> SERP 457(b) <br> SERP 457(f) 38\% <br> Severance Plan 34\% <br> 36\% <br> Split Dollar Plan 17\% <br> NQ or Severance Plan Not Available 46\% <br> 46\%

Source: 2010 NAFCU Survey

## What Others Are Doing

## CEO and Executive Benefits Data <br> Asset Category: \$200 Million - \$399.9 Million

|  | CEO |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | $76.0 \%$ | Bonus Eligible (Average 14.0\% of base salary) Amount $\$ 32,566$ |
| 2. | $62.0 \%$ | Sunnlemental Life Insurance |
| 3 | $17.0 \%$ | Split-Dollar Insurance |
| 4. | $37.0 \%$ | Executive Long-Term Disability |
| 5. | $35.0 \%$ | Medical Insurance Premium Reimbursement |
| 6. | $97.0 \%$ | 401(k) Plan (Average plan match 4.0\%) |
| 7. | $28.0 \%$ | Defined Benefit Plan |
| 8. | $17.0 \%$ | nofinon Contribution Plan |
| 9. | $48.0 \%$ | 457(b) Plan |
| 10. | $35.0 \%$ | 457(f) Plan |
| 11. | $54.0 \%$ | raid Spouse Travel |
| 12. | $26.0 \%$ | Club Memberships |
| 13. | $59.0 \%$ | Paid Education Benefits |
| 14. | $78.0 \%$ | Car or Car Allowance (Car allowance - $\$ 5,674 /$ year) |
| 15. | $48.0 \%$ | Employment Contract |
| 16. | $14.0 \%$ | Change in Control Provision |

## Other Executives

| 1. | $71.0 \%$ | Bonus Eligible (Average 10.0\% of base salary) Amount $\$ 9,459$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2. | $40.0 \%$ | Supplemental Life Insurance |
| 3. | $2.0 \%$ | Split-Dollar Insurance |
| 4. | $19.0 \%$ | Executive Long-Term Disability |
| 5. | $19.0 \%$ | Medical Insurance Premium Reimbursement |
| 6. | $97.0 \%$ | 401(k) Plan (Average plan match $5.0 \%$ ) |
| 7. | $26.0 \%$ | Defined Benefit Plan |
| 8. | $14.0 \%$ | nofinod Contribution Plan |
| 9. $28.0 \%$ 457(b) Plan <br> 10. $7.0 \%$ 457(f) Plan <br> 11. $14.0 \%$ Palu Spouse Iravel <br> 12. $8.0 \%$ Club Memberships <br> 13. $56.0 \%$ Paid Education Benefits <br> 14. $7.0 \%$ Car or Car Allowance (Car allowance - $\$ 2,350 /$ year) <br> 15. $2.0 \%$ Employment Contract <br> 16. $1.0 \%$ Change in Control Provision |  |  |

Source: 2010 Credit Union Executive Society Compensation Survey

## What Others Are Doing

## Corporate Benefit Plans by Asset Size

|  | All Banks \& Thrifts | \$250M | \$250M to \$500M | \$500M to \$1B | \$1B to \$5B | > \$5B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Size | 909 | 174 | 188 | 219 | 218 | 110 |
| 401 (k) Plan | 89.9 | 71.8 | 91.0 | 94.5 | 97.7 | 91.8 |
| Cash Incentive Plan | 75.8 | 39.1 | 67.6 | 85.4 | 91.3 | 98.2 |
| Change in Control Agreement | 76.9 | 72.4 | 74.5 | 77.2 | 78.9 | 83.6 |
| Deferred Compensation Plan | 55.3 | 28.2 | 49.5 | 55.3 | 66.1 | 87.3 |
| Director Stock Plan | 69.0 | 62.6 | 60.6 | 70.8 | 71.1 | 85.5 |
| Employment Agreements | 70.5 | 75.3 | 70.2 | 70.8 | 72.0 | 60.0 |
| ESOP * | 47.9 | 38.5 | 45.7 | 44.3 | 56.0 | 57.3 |
| Qualified Pension Plan | 29.3 | 13.2 | 26.6 | 26.9 | 31.7 | 59.1 |
| Qualified Profit Sharing | 43.9 | 35.1 | 53.7 | 43.4 | 44.0 | 41.8 |
| Restricted Stock Plan | 40.8 | 24.7 | 27.7 | 37.0 | 49.1 | 80.0 |
| Stock Option Plan | 87.6 | 80.5 | 80.3 | 88.6 | 94.0 | 96.4 |
| SERP ** | 49.5 | 22.4 | 46.3 | 57.5 | 55.5 | 70.0 |

* ESOP/ ESPP = Employee Stock Ownership Plan / Employee Stock Purchase Plan
** Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Source : SNL Financial Executive Compensation Review (2007)

## Types of Executive Benefit Plans

## Tax Deferral (Deferred Compensation)

1. 457(b)
2. 457(f)
3. 451

## Taxed I mmediately (Section 162)

1. Restricted Bonus
2. Secular Trust

## Tax Avoidance (Welfare Benefit Plans)

1. Post Retirement Medical
2. Split Dollar

## A Question of Fairness

According to financial planners, employees will need about 70-80 percent of pre-retirement income to enjoy a comfortable retirement. Typically, retirement income sources include Social Security and qualified retirement plans. For employees with higher salaries, a gap is created due to IRS limitations on qualified plans and annual exempt amounts with Social Security.

The graphs on the next slides show the income replacement at retirement provided by Social Security and qualified plans for different income levels.
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## A Question of Fairness (conisinesi)



## A Question of Fairness (continued)



Pre-Retirement C ompensation Levels

## Example of "GAP"

| PARTICIPANT DATA |  |  |  |  |  | PROJECTED ANNUAL DISTRIBUTIONS FROM EXISTING BENEFIT PLANS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed <br> Participant | Age as of 4/1/2010 | YearsofService | Salary (\$) as of 4/1/2010 | Proposed Retirement Age | Projected Final <br> Salary (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Retiremen <br> Length (Yr | Social | Current | Total Ex | isting |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rs) Security (\$) | Plans (\$) | Amount (\$) | \% |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| PRESIDENT/CEO | 44 | 21 | 275,000 | 62 | 661,820 | 20 | 19,584 | 112,213 | 131,797 | 19.91\% |
| COO | 42 | 25 | 153,831 | 62 | 388,723 | 20 | 20,160 | 112,503 | 132,663 | 34.13\% |
| CAO | 44 | 39 | 132,132 | 62 | 317,991 | 20 | 19,584 | 105,823 | 125,407 | 39.44\% |
| CLO | 40 | 41 | 134,513 | 62 | 374,748 | 23 | 21,390 | 122,467 | 143,857 | 38.39\% |
| SVP MORTGAGE LENDING | 59 | 4 | 170,362 | 62 | 187,824 | 23 | 12,114 | 4,614 | 16,728 | 8.91\% |
| CCO | 43 | 21 | 130,000 | 62 | 312,861 | 20 | 19,578 | 69,353 | 88,931 | 28.43\% |
| CONTROLLER | 43 | 37 | 104,000 | 62 | 262,803 | 20 | 19,506 | 90,089 | 109,595 | 41.70\% |
| TELLER | 25 | 40 | 29,544 | 62 | 171,113 | 23 | 19,266 | 79,662 | 98,928 | 57.81\% |
| BRANCH MANAGER | 57 | 37 | 74,066 | 62 | 90,028 | 23 | 10,548 | 28,094 | 38,642 | 42.92\% |
| HR MANAGER | 31 | 36 | 68,000 | 62 | 293,892 | 23 | 24,552 | 117,960 | 142,512 | 48.49\% |
| IT SUPPORT SPECIALIST | 35 | 30 | 39,097 | 62 | 139,016 | 20 | 15,498 | 47,658 | 63,156 | 45.43\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average ben | efit for lowe | r tiered employ | es as a \% of | of final salary. | 48.66\% |

## Notes/Assumptions:

- The report indicates the participant's projected final average compensation rate, growing from the current compensation rate at a 5.00 percent annual increase (inflating on January 1 of each year).
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## Safety and Soundness

Roadmap to Construct a Plan, Identifying and Avoiding Risks



## BF|B
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## Vendor Selection Guidelines

## Three Key Considerations:

1. Evaluate the vendor's experience and expertise

- History
- Endorsements
- Business Model

2. Weigh the vendor's reputation in the industry

- Clients
- Financial Health

3. Examine key operational issues

- Online Administration
- Compliance Reporting
- SAS70
- Field Personnel


## Assessing Risk

The vendor should conduct a Pre-Purchase Analysis of all products used to ensure the credit union understands the risks, rewards and unique characteristics.

Risk Management Analysis of Permissible Product - understand and address potential informal funding risks, which include:

- Market/ Transactional Risk - Type of Product
- Interest Rate Risk - Market Volatility
- Credit Risk - Carrier Rating
- Liquidity Risk - Ability to Liquidate Assets Quickly with Minimal Loss in Value
- Strategic Risk - Function of the Compatibility of the Credit Union's Strategic Goals
- Compliance Risk - Initial and Annual Compliance Update
- Reputation Risk - Full Understanding by Board of Plan


## Assessing Risk (continvece)

The credit union should understand the contractual risks of a benefit plan.

Risk Management Analysis of Legal Obligation which includes:

- Type of Promise
- Nonqualified
- Welfare Benefit
- Events that Trigger Payments (Cash Flows)
- Payment Date/ Retirement
- Death
- Disability
- Change of Control
- Termination - Voluntary/ I nvoluntary


## Ongoing Due Diligence

- Implementation
- Ongoing Administration System
- Financial Reporting/ Compliance
- Annual Review
- Plan
- Product
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## Board Due Diligence

## Questions?
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